

University of Technology, Sydney

A Criminalistic Approach to Biological Evidence:

Trace DNA and Volume Crime Offences

Jennifer J. Raymond

A thesis submitted for the
Degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Science)



2010

Certificate of authorship and originality

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of the requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all the information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

NAME: Jennifer Joan Raymond

SIGNATURE:

DATE: 9 June 2010

Acknowledgements

First and foremost I would like to give my utmost thanks to my supervisors, from whom I was inspired, educated and supported throughout this long journey. Dr Roland van Oorschot; I couldn't ask for more from a supervisor, thanks so much for your tireless dedication and advice despite the distance, particularly in the experimental design and reviewing. I could not have completed this without your endless support and encouragement. Prof Claude Roux; your advice into criminalistics, help with administrative requirements and support for conference attendance were incredibly appreciated. Dr Simon Walsh; thank you for your advice and ideas, I never failed to walk out of our meetings feeling positive and enthusiastic about my research. Dr Peter Gunn; your help with the practical work, reagents and equipment was much appreciated, and also with the data from the outsourcing project.

Secondly, my undying gratitude goes to my long-suffering volunteers for the experimental work and participants in the methods survey.

For their quick and friendly assistance in accessing the trace DNA casework data I would like to acknowledge Alex Lucca from Genetic Technologies, and Dr Tony Raymond and Wendy Tufts from the NSW Police Force.

I was fortunate to work with several dedicated Honours and Masters students during the course of this project; Laura Evans, who assisted with real-time PCR methods, Kate Howson who conducted an informative project on screening methods for trace DNA (referred to in section 1.5.1.1), and Rachel Morton who tested transfer of DNA with glove use (section 6.4).

And finally, to my family and friends; Mum and Dad for the years of support to get me here, and Dad for your patient editing of this beast, Gill for the inspiration to study forensics in the first place, and Cam, for keeping me grounded with all your love and laughs. Also to the countless UTS forensic PhD students for their empathy, particularly the other part-timers who understand the agony and the ecstasy of concurrent work and study.

This work is dedicated to my wonderful Nanna; for giving me some of her stubborn spirit but who unfortunately didn't get to see the final result.

Table of contents

LIST OF FIGURES	VII
LIST OF TABLES	IX
ABBREVIATIONS	X
ABSTRACT	XV
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	2
1.1 DNA TECHNOLOGY AND ITS USE IN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM	3
1.1.1 <i>DNA Technology: New innovations and challenges</i>	5
1.2 DNA FROM TOUCHED OBJECTS: TRACE DNA	8
1.2.1 <i>DNA in skin</i>	10
1.3 TRACE EVIDENCE AND CRIMINALISTICS.....	12
1.4 VOLUME CRIME AND DNA EVIDENCE	13
1.4.1 <i>DNA Databases</i>	15
1.4.2 <i>Measuring the effect of DNA on volume crime</i>	17
1.4.3 <i>The use of trace DNA in Australia</i>	20
1.5 TRACE DNA – ISSUES AND CHALLENGES	22
1.5.1 <i>Determining the source of the DNA</i>	22
1.5.2 <i>Background DNA</i>	26
1.5.3 <i>DNA Primary and Secondary Transfer</i>	27
1.5.4 <i>Interpreting Trace DNA Evidence</i>	30
1.5.5 <i>Court Challenges of trace DNA</i>	35
1.5.6 <i>Getting Back to Basics</i>	38
1.5.7 <i>Developing an interpretive framework for trace DNA</i>	39
1.6 RATIONALE AND STRUCTURE OF THESIS	41
1.6.1 <i>Aims</i>	43
CHAPTER 2: AUSTRALASIAN SURVEY OF TRACE DNA METHODS	45
2.1 INTRODUCTION	45
2.2 RESULTS.....	48
2.2.1 <i>2004 Survey</i>	48
2.2.2 <i>Section 1: Crime scene investigators</i>	48
2.2.3 <i>Section 2: Laboratory staff</i>	53
2.2.4 <i>Section 3: Managers</i>	59
2.2.5 <i>2009 follow-up survey</i>	61
2.3 DISCUSSION	69
2.3.1 <i>Training and Research</i>	69
2.3.2 <i>Methods and Processes</i>	71
2.3.3 <i>Results and Opinions</i>	75
2.3.4 <i>2009 update</i>	77
2.4 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	82
2.4.1 <i>Conclusions</i>	82
CHAPTER 3: CASEWORK DATA.....	85
3.1 INTRODUCTION	85
3.2 METHODS	87
3.3 RESULTS.....	88
3.4 DISCUSSION	91
3.5 CONCLUSIONS.....	94
CHAPTER 4: METHODS	97
4.1 INTRODUCTION	97

4.2	DNA SAMPLING	97
4.2.1	<i>Double swab method:</i>	97
4.3	DNA EXTRACTION	98
4.3.1	<i>5% Chelex method:</i>	98
4.3.2	<i>20% Chelex method:</i>	98
4.3.3	<i>Microcon® 100 concentration:</i>	99
4.3.4	<i>FTA™ card buccal collection and extraction:</i>	100
4.4	DNA QUANTITATION	101
4.4.1	<i>PicoGreen® quantitation:</i>	101
4.4.2	<i>Quantifiler™ quantitation:</i>	102
4.5	DNA AMPLIFICATION	102
4.5.1	<i>Profiler Plus™ Amplification</i>	102
4.5.2	<i>Monoplex Amplification</i>	103
4.6	ANALYSIS	104
4.6.1	<i>DNA analysis:</i>	104
4.6.2	<i>Data analysis:</i>	105
CHAPTER 5:	DNA ABUNDANCE	107
5.1	INTRODUCTION	107
5.2	ABUNDANCE – BURGLARY	107
5.2.1	<i>Burglary Abundance - Method.</i>	108
5.2.2	<i>Burglary Abundance – Results.</i>	110
5.3	ABUNDANCE – ROBBERY	113
5.3.1	<i>Robbery Abundance – Method.</i>	114
5.3.2	<i>Robbery Abundance – Results.</i>	115
5.4	DISCUSSION.....	117
5.5	CONCLUSIONS.....	119
5.5.1	<i>Burglary</i>	119
5.5.2	<i>Robbery</i>	120
CHAPTER 6:	DNA TRANSFER	122
6.1	INTRODUCTION	122
6.2	TRANSFER – BURGLARY.	122
6.2.1	<i>Burglary Transfer – Method.</i>	123
6.2.2	<i>Burglary Transfer – Results.</i>	124
6.3	TRANSFER – ROBBERY.	128
6.3.1	<i>Robbery Transfer – Methods.</i>	128
6.3.2	<i>Robbery Transfer – Results.</i>	130
6.4	DISCUSSION.....	133
6.5	CONCLUSIONS.....	136
6.5.1	<i>Burglary</i>	136
6.5.2	<i>Robbery</i>	137
CHAPTER 7:	DNA PERSISTENCE	139
7.1	INTRODUCTION	139
7.2	METHODS.....	140
7.2.1	<i>Experimental.</i>	140
7.2.2	<i>Casework data.</i>	143
7.3	RESULTS.....	143
7.3.1	<i>Quantifiler™ quantitation.</i>	143
7.3.2	<i>PicoGreen® quantitation.</i>	144
7.3.3	<i>Profiler Plus™ amplification.</i>	145
7.3.4	<i>Casework data.</i>	149
7.4	DISCUSSION.....	151
7.5	CONCLUSIONS.....	154

CHAPTER 8: DISCUSSION & CONCLUSIONS.....	156
8.1 SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION OF THE DATA.....	156
8.1.1 <i>Learnings from the Methods Survey</i>	156
8.1.2 <i>The casework data</i>	159
8.1.3 <i>The experimental results</i>	160
8.1.4 <i>Limitations and areas for future research</i>	163
8.2 PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS OF THE DATA	164
8.2.1 <i>An interpretive framework</i>	167
8.2.2 <i>The value of trace DNA in volume crime investigation</i>	172
8.3 OUTCOMES AND RECOMMENDATIONS	178
8.4 CONCLUSIONS.....	180
APPENDIX A: METHODS SURVEYS – 2004 AND 2009	183
APPENDIX B: METHODS SURVEY	203
TOTAL RESPONSES BY JURISDICTION.....	203
<i>The ‘Average’ Practitioner, circa 2004</i>	220
APPENDIX C: TRACE DNA CASEWORK DATA	223
REFERENCES	231

List of figures

Figure 1-1. A representation of the cross-matching between the nine jurisdictions in the National Criminal Investigation DNA Database (NCIDD), serving as a demonstration of the complexity in meshing nine separate legal systems.	16
Figure 1-2. Factors affecting trace DNA recovery.	39
Figure 2-1. Highest education level of respondents, crime scene investigators (CSIs) vs laboratory staff (Labs).	49
Figure 2-2. DNA collection methods; crime scene investigators (CSIs) vs laboratory staff (Labs).	51
Figure 2-3. Comparison in experience of crime scene investigators (CSIs) and DNA laboratory staff (Labs)	54
Figure 2-4. Laboratory staff: Time between analysis steps.	58
Figure 2-5. Estimates of success rates* of different sample types: Laboratory staff (Labs) vs Managers.	58
Figure 2-6. Opinions of trace DNA evidence from the three survey groups	61
Figure 2-7. 2009 survey; Have trace DNA collection and analysis methods changed since 2004?	63
Figure 2-8. Does your electronic data management system allow the collection of statistics in the following areas?	66
Figure 2-9. Are you easily able to access all the data that you would like?	67
Figure 2-10. 2009 survey; opinions of trace DNA as evidence in volume and major crime.	68
Figure 2-11. Opinions of trace DNA as evidence; comparison between 2004 and 2009.	68
Figure 3-1. Average DNA quantity recovered by sample type. Negative samples were included in the calculation of the mean of each group.	88
Figure 3-2. Completeness of profiles recovered from the casework data. The partial profiles were categorised as to whether they contained less or more than 12 alleles.	89
Figure 3-3. Completeness of profiles recovered by sample type.	89
Figure 3-4. Average recovered DNA quantity by location and examiner type.	90
Figure 3-5. Time delays for sample collection and laboratory submission, by location recovered.	91
Figure 5-1. Examples of sampled entry points. The yellow labels (placed after the swabbing was completed) indicate the specific areas of swabbing.	109
Figure 5-2. The location of points of entry from 95 residential burglaries	110
Figure 5-3. The types of profiles recovered from residential points of entry, at 34 cycle amplification	112
Figure 5-4. The location of positive samples from residential points of entry, at 34 cycle amplification	112
Figure 5-5. Comparison of the DNA recovery from bags versus wallets and purses.	115
Figure 5-6. Comparison of the DNA recovery from the two sampled areas.	115
Figure 5-7. The amount of DNA recovered from personal items versus the length of time owned.	116

Figure 5-8. The level of completeness of profiles recovered from the personal items, at 28 and 34 cycle amplification	117
Figure 6-1. Completeness of profiles recovered from the burglary transfers, at 28 and 34 cycle amplification.	124
Figure 6-2. Average quantity of DNA according to sex of donor.....	125
Figure 6-3. Average quantity of DNA recovered by age of donor.	125
Figure 6-4. Average quantity of DNA recovered by time since hand washing.	125
Figure 6-5. Wallets used for robbery transfer project.	128
Figure 6-6. The average amount of DNA recovered from the three time periods of transfer, Group 1.	130
Figure 6-7. The completeness of profiles from Group 1, transfer only.....	131
Figure 6-8. The ratio between the peak heights of the robber's DNA versus the victim's, 28 cycles. ...	132
Figure 6-9. Types of gloves worn during 53 Sydney armed robbery offences.	135
Figure 7-1. The exterior of the service station and entry door.	140
Figure 7-2. CCTV footage still and closeup showing the offender closing the entry door.	140
Figure 7-3. Experimental setup of the persistence experiments.	142
Figure 7-4. Quantifiler™ quantitation of DNA from three swabbed surfaces at various time intervals up to 6 weeks, after application of a standard amount of human Buffy Coat cells, presented as a percentage of the amount recovered at t=0.....	144
Figure 7-5. Quantifiler™ quantitation of DNA from three swabbed surfaces at time intervals up to 6 weeks after application of DNA control solution, presented as a percentage of the amount recovered at t=0.	144
Figure 7-6. PicoGreen® quantitation of DNA from swabbed 'House' surfaces at various time intervals up to 6 weeks, after application of a standard amount of human Buffy Coat cells, presented as a percentage of the amount recovered at t=0.....	145
Figure 7-7. Profiler Plus™ profiles of selected Buffy Coat 'laboratory' samples over 6 weeks.	146
Figure 7-8. Profiler Plus™ profiles of selected Buffy Coat 'house' samples over 6 weeks.	147
Figure 7-9. Profiler Plus™ profiles of selected Buffy Coat 'bag' samples over 6 weeks.	148
Figure 7-10. Completeness of profiles recovered from casework trace DNA samples.	149
Figure 7-11. Concentration of DNA recovered from casework trace DNA samples, grouped in five time categories of the delay prior to the sample collection.	151

List of tables

Table 2-1. Organisations of survey distribution	47
Table 2-2. Survey return.....	48
Table 2-3. Crime scene investigators: Contamination prevention during fingerprint examinations.....	52
Table 2-4. Glove use during DNA sampling: Laboratory staff (Labs) vs crime scene investigators (CSIs) ..	52
Table 2-5. Facemask use during sampling: Laboratory staff (Labs) vs crime scene investigators (CSIs) ..	52
Table 2-6. Laboratory staff: Glove and facemask use during DNA extraction	57
Table 2-7. Managers: Staff numbers and duties	59
Table 2-8. 2009 Survey return.....	62
Table 2-9. 2009 survey; levels and frequency of internal training.....	62
Table 2-10. 2009 survey; time spent on research activities.....	63
Table 2-11. 2009 survey; changes in trace DNA submission policies.....	64
Table 2-12. 2009 survey; electronic data management systems.....	65
Table 2-13. Is this data collated, and if so, how often? Responses are in percentages.....	66
Table 2-14. Dissemination of trace DNA results.	67
Table 6-1. Casework samples from points of entry.....	127
Table 6-2. Three groups used in the robbery transfer experiments.	129
Table 6-3. Results of the transfer pairs, Groups 2 and 3, 28 cycles.	131
Table 6-4. Casework samples - stolen personal items.	133
Table 7-1. Results from trace DNA casework samples, sorted by the time difference between the offence and sample collection	150
Table 8-1. An example decision matrix for street robbery offences.....	166
Table 8-2. Forensic Science Service verbal scale for the likelihood ratio.....	168
Table 8-3. Estimated costs of DNA evidence in volume crime investigations.....	174
Table 8-4. Total costs of DNA evidence in volume crime investigations.....	174

Abbreviations

ACT	Australian Capital Territory
AFP	Australian Federal Police
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
ANZPAA	Australian and New Zealand Policing Advisory Agency
BNs	Bayesian Networks
BSAG	Biology Specialist Advisory Group
CCTV	Closed circuit television
CODIS	Combined DNA Index System
COPS	Computerised Operational Policing System
DAB	Diaminobenzidine
DAL	The Division of Analytical Laboratories
DFO	1,8-diaza-9-fluorenone
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
dsDNA	Double-stranded DNA
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
FBI	Federal Bureau of Investigation
FPs	Fingerprints
FSG	Forensic Services Group
FSS	Forensic Science Service (UK)
IT	Information Technology
LCN	Low copy number
LCV	Leucocrystal Violet
NAFIS	National Automated Fingerprint Identification System
NAS	National Academy of Sciences
NCIDD	National Criminal Investigation DNA Database

NIFS	National Institute of Forensic Science
NSW	New South Wales
NT	Northern Territory
NZ	New Zealand
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
POE	Point of entry
QLD	Queensland
RFU	Relative Fluorescence Units
SA	South Australia
SMANZFL	Senior Managers of Australian and New Zealand Forensic Laboratories
SOCO	Scenes of Crime Officer
STR	Short tandem repeat
SNP	Single nucleotide polymorphism
TAS	Tasmania
TE	Tris-EDTA buffer
UK	United Kingdom
USA	United States of America
UV	Ultraviolet
VIC	Victoria
WA	Western Australia

List of Papers

This thesis is based in part on the following publications and conference presentations.

Published Articles

- **Trace DNA: An underutilised resource or Pandora's Box? A discussion of the use of trace DNA analysis in the investigation of volume crime.**
Raymond, J.J., Walsh, S.J., van Oorschot, R.A.H., Gunn, P.R., Roux, C. *Journal of Forensic Identification*, 54 (6), 2004, 668-686.
- **Trace DNA analysis: Do you know what your neighbour is doing? A multi-jurisdictional survey.**
Raymond, J.J., van Oorschot, R.A.H., Walsh, S.J., Roux, C. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, 2(1), 2008, 19-28.
- **Assessing trace DNA evidence from a residential burglary: Abundance, transfer and persistence.**
Raymond, J.J., Walsh, S.J., van Oorschot, R.A.H., Gunn, P.R., Evans, L., Roux, C. *Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series*, 1(1), 2008, 442-443.
- **Trace Evidence Characteristics of DNA: A Preliminary Investigation of the Persistence of DNA at Crime Scenes.**
Raymond, J.J., van Oorschot, R.A.H., Gunn, P.R., Walsh, S.J., Roux, C. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, 4(1), 2009, 26-33.
- **Trace DNA success rates relating to volume crime offences.**
Raymond, J.J., van Oorschot, R.A.H., Walsh, S.J., Gunn, P.R., Roux, C. *Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series*, 2(1), 2009, 136-137.
- **Trace DNA and street robbery: a criminalistic approach to DNA evidence.**
Raymond, J.J., van Oorschot, R.A.H., Walsh, S.J., Gunn, P.R., Roux, C. *Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series*, 2(1), 2009, 544-546.

Conference Presentations

- **DNA: Just any other trace evidence?**

Raymond, J.J., Walsh, S.J., Gunn, P. R., Van Oorschot, R.A., Roux, C., In: the 17th International Symposium of the Australian and New Zealand Forensic Science Society (ANZFSS), 2004, Wellington, New Zealand

- **Trace Evidence Characteristics of DNA: Abundance and Persistence studies.**

Raymond, J.J., Gunn, P., Walsh, S.J., Van Oorschot, R.A., Roux, C. In: International Association of Forensic Sciences (IAFS), 2005, Hong Kong, China.

- **Trace DNA Analysis: Australian and New Zealand methods survey.**

Raymond, J.J., Van Oorschot, R.A., Walsh, S.J., Roux, C. In: the 18th International Symposium of the ANZFSS, 2006, Fremantle, Western Australia.

- **Assessing trace DNA evidence from a residential burglary: Abundance, transfer and persistence.**

Raymond, J.J., Walsh, S.J., Van Oorschot, R.A., Gunn, P.R., Evans, L., Roux, C. In: the 22nd Congress of the International Society for Forensic Genetics (ISFG), 2007, Copenhagen, Denmark.

- **Trace evidence characteristics of DNA: background levels and transfer of trace DNA in volume crime.**

Raymond, J.J., van Oorschot, R.A., Walsh, S.J., Roux, C., Gunn, P.R. In: the 19th International Symposium of the ANZFSS, 2008, Melbourne, Victoria.

- **Trace evidence characteristics of DNA: the persistence of DNA in volume crime scenes.**

Raymond, J.J., van Oorschot, R.A.H., Walsh, S.J., Roux, C., Gunn, P.R. In: the 19th International Symposium of the ANZFSS, 2008, Melbourne, Victoria.

- **A criminalistic approach to biological evidence: trace DNA and volume crime.**

Raymond, J.J., van Oorschot, R.A.H., Walsh, S.J., Gunn, P.R., Roux, C. In: the 5th meeting of the European Academy of Forensic Science, 2009, Glasgow, United Kingdom.

- **Trace DNA success rates relating to volume crime offences.**

Raymond, J.J., van Oorschot, R.A.H., Walsh, S.J., Gunn, P.R., Roux, C. In: the 23rd congress of the International Society for Forensic Genetics, 2009, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

- **Trace DNA and street robbery: a criminalistic approach to DNA evidence.**

Raymond, J.J., van Oorschot, R.A.H., Walsh, S.J., Gunn, P.R., Roux, C. In: the 23rd congress of the International Society for Forensic Genetics, 2009, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

Abstract

Volume crimes such as burglary and street robbery present an enormous cost to the Australian community each year. These ubiquitous crimes traditionally have a low resolution rate, but the use of information gathered through DNA databases provides another avenue of investigation. The forensic response to these crimes could be increased with the use of trace DNA; however the lack of awareness of forensic science as a holistic discipline focusing on the study of traces, often leads to a lack of knowledge into the trace evidence characteristics of DNA. This problem is compounded by practical and interpretive difficulties. The main hypothesis tested through this study is that, with an increased understanding into the criminalistic properties of trace DNA, it may prove to be more useful and effective evidence in the investigation of volume crime than is currently the case.

The project encompassed three parts. The first component was a detailed survey sent to every jurisdiction in Australia and New Zealand to benchmark methods and protocols, education and training of personnel, and opinions and uses of trace DNA. The second involved the analysis of the results of 250 trace DNA swabs collected from New South Wales crime scenes, in order to provide a comparison point to the experimental work. The final section comprised preliminary experimental work to investigate the abundance, transfer and persistence of trace DNA within the context of residential burglary and street robbery offences.

The methods survey helped to identify methods to be used in the experimental component of the project, but also highlighted issues in the field including a lack of training and proficiency testing. The absence of data collation across the jurisdictions was also a point for concern, and prevented the identification of factors that may affect trace DNA success rates. The pervading outcome of the survey was the need for effective data management systems and strong communication lines to facilitate best practice. From the analysis of the casework data a success rate¹ in the order of 15-20% was identified for New South Wales trace DNA swabs, with an average of 1.7ng of DNA recovered. Subsets of the data were used to directly compare to the experimental results in terms of transfer and persistence.

¹ Defined here as swabs resulting in a profile with 12 or more alleles, and therefore suitable for inclusion on the state DNA database.

The experimental work gave an insight into the behaviour of trace DNA in crime scene scenarios. The level of background DNA on surfaces encountered in forensic investigations was varied; for example residential doors were found to hold more background DNA than windows. Whilst the level of DNA on personal items such as bags and wallets was found to be relatively high, DNA from the offenders of simulated robberies could still be detected in usable quantities on these items. DNA was found to persist in sheltered locations for at least six weeks, but declined more rapidly in outdoor environments, with profiles not recovered after two weeks. This information may help to assist the interpretation and presentation of trace DNA evidence when the judicial question is one of activity, rather than source. The data also may be used in the education of crime scene examiners to assist them to target the most probative evidential samples. With further work in this field, trace DNA will be more easily applied to investigations.

Trace DNA may be a useful tool in volume crime investigations, but individual jurisdictions should assess their capacity to manage the evidence to ensure results can be disseminated and actioned in a timely manner, otherwise the investment may prove to be fruitless. Effective and ongoing training programs and functional data management systems should be implemented to maximise both the investigative and intelligence value of trace DNA evidence. A holistic approach to the implementation of forensic evidence, encompassing the groundwork of theoretical analysis, review of capabilities and logistical and technical improvements, would greatly increase its value in policing and the criminal justice system.